

APPENDIX I – NOTES FROM COMPREHENSIVE PLAN COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of August 4, 2011

Present: Bill Andrews, Connie Casteneda, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Becky Donohue, Dave Hale, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannon, Kathy Harter, Christine Hunt, Buddy Lester, Craig McAllister, Mark Rabjohn, Mary Ann Thorpe, Lynda VandenBerg, and Danielle Windus-Cook.

The group went around the table and introduced themselves.

Chairperson Connors passed around some documents/proposals that have been presented to the Town informally over the past few years:

1. Kevin Morgan from Brockport Crossings – interested in adding two more buildings to the Student Lane Apartments on Holley Street in the Town. A rezoning of the area by the Town Board would be needed.
2. Concept site plan for an apartment complex in an area currently zoned retail-business (Sweden-Walker Road between Colby Street and Swamp Road). There is currently no sewer in the area. A prospective applicant previously came before the Town Board for rezoning of the property to light industrial to put up storage buildings. The Town Board denied the rezoning. (It was noted that there has been clearing of land in that area.)
3. Walt Eisenhower – runway extension at Ledgesdale Airpark.
4. Pat Lloyd is looking to develop property on Eisenhower Drive (north side). (Area was previously recommended to be rezoned to light industrial.) Looking to put up a storage building and office.
5. Verbal recommendation from NYS Department of Transportation that the Town consider buying development rights on land along Route 31 corridor in the Town from Owens Road to Sweden-Walker Road. (They are the only ones that can grant access to the road.)
6. Property across from Owens Road (Owens Road extending to south side of Route 31). Area is currently zoned residential. There was interest from a realtor and owner of the property to have that area rezoned to commercial. However, there has been no interest in any prospective buyers for the Town to consider it. The property backs up to residential homes at Talamora Trail.

Chairperson Connors handed out Chapter 2 to all committee members. The first portion of the chapter deals with the US Census figures which will take a little more time to update the information. Those portions of Chapter 2 will be skipped over for the time being.

It was noted that the Town, as a whole, went up 459 (3.3%). Dave Hale questioned the accuracy of the college census figures and hopes that we will be able to obtain the current enrollment figures for the college.

Dave Hale noted that the college has long-range plans for Kenyon Street and removing the railroad. The college was supposed to meet with the Village at some point to present their plan.

The committee then moved on to review Chapter 2, page 2-9 under “additional information”. Discussion on the condo status at Sunflower Landing and McCormick Place. Adding Northview subdivision, the Highlands at Brandon Woods, Heritage Square, The Woods at Sable Ridge with approved site plans (currently in litigation).

Page 2-12: Under Commercial Land Use

- Remove “Ryan’s”
- Include Lowe’s (as a commercial plaza) “Owen’s Landing”
- Distinction between current Wal-Mart and old Wal-Mart
- Plaza behind current Wal-Mart (Maurice’s)
- Carl’s Corner Plaza
- Bill Gray’s

Update figures for tax parcel data regarding commercial land uses.

Industrial Land Use add:

- West Winds/MJ Trucking
- PetSmart closed in 2008
- SunKing (move from commercial side)

A question was asked what “Duncan Tsay” was and if it was still in business. It is located at 56 High Street (used to be Jets LeFrois Corp). Employs 5-9 people.

Question: Is “Birds Eye Frozen Foods” still there? No, it is renamed to “Allen Canning Co”.

Update taxable assessment information under Industrial Land Use and note “Industrial use has further declined.”

Update of Wetlands maps (obtain from County).

Page 2-17: Quarry – update the dates of permits.

Iroquois Rock donated land to the Town of Sweden on Swamp Road and the Town sold it.

Take a look at the growth in the Town after the installation of new water and sewer lines.

Update the taxable assessment information under Agricultural Land.

Page 2-18: Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites:

- Update Sweden-3 – Chapman Site
- Mobil station on corner of Route 19 & 31
- ADD: Ace Cleaners (4626 Lake Road)

- Update the information from Oxford Street, Lyman Street and removal of homes in the area – testing results reported to Village.
- Previous Stage 16 apartments location – question if the area is listed as hazardous waste site. (Old landfill on Holley Street). Need hard facts to determine if it has been listed.
- High Bridge and Perry Street (at the foot of the steps).
- Update the information regarding the Black & Decker site and the Boy Scout cabin.

Page 2-19: Woodlands

- Bus garage
- College expansion
- Northview subdivision (previously farm land)
- Areas of the Park

Page 2-20: Utilities

- Change Niagara Mohawk to National Grid
- Remove reference to Choice One Communications
- 3rd paragraph - Water is no longer coming from Lake Ontario
- Remove paragraph 6 re: low water pressure – it was fixed when the new main was put in.
- New utilities replaced during the Main Street reconstruction project in the Village
- Sewer expansion on Fourth Section Road

Page 2-21: Transportation and Planned & Proposed Improvements

- Roundabout at Route 19 and West and East Avenue as part of the Main Street reconstruction project.
- Remove “Route 531 expansion” references. Replace with the Route 531 Terminus Project
- Recommend Transit Way to be a dedicated road to Route 19
- (Planning Board should have the traffic counts that are in the study)
- Transit Way, Talamora Trail, Nathaniel Poole Way Trail

Next meeting: August 18, 2011 – continue review with page 2-23.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of August 18, 2011

Present: Pat Connors, Becky Donohue, David Hale, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannan, Kathy Harter, Christine Hunt, Mark Rabjohn, and Danielle Windus-Cook.

Under Commercial Land Use p. 2-12 –

- West Wind Mechanics along with MJ Dreher Trucking on Owens Road
- Wal-Mart Super Center and the plaza behind it (Maurice's) – Sweden Shopping Center.

p. 2-13 – the industrial land probably hasn't changed (re: taxable assessment).

p. 2-18 – hazardous waste sites to be updated from info from DEC.

p. 2-20 – Strike reference to Town's recycling center.

Name of the electric company changed to National Grid.

Include a sentence regarding the water and sewer expansions in the Town under Utilities.

Incorporate Green energy sources/technology (wind turbines/solar)

p. 2-21 – Transportation – include the roundabout and the Route 531 Terminus.

Include Transit Way and Nathaniel Poole Trail; road improvements with center turn lanes at Wal-Mart Super Center and left turn lane at Owen's Road.

p. 2-23 – Orleans Transit Authority and Rochester-Genesee Regional Transit Authority. Add College Suites in Heritage Square.

General Aviation – discussion on runway expansion at Ledgesdale Airpark and whether or not it's still relevant. The statement "As of August 1992, the airport based 50 single-engine aircraft" is this still valid. Also, if the runway were extended, is there enough of a buffer zone from the residential properties in the area?

Pedestrian Circulation - (Town)

1. Sidewalks in front of Wal-Mart Super Center
2. Nathaniel Poole Trail
3. Sidewalks up to S/C Community Center on Bader's Way
4. Northview Subdivision
5. Proposed sidewalks on Redman Road by Heritage Square (Persistence Path) to the Canal (find out what side of Redman Road – east or west side)

(Village) –

1. McCormack Place
2. Sunflower Landing

Go back to p. 2-12 and add the marketing info for Heritage Square.

p. 2-22 – Erie Canal – add cross country skiing and snow-showing

P. 2-24 – Parks, Rec & Open Area

Town Park – add/update – field counts, disk golf course, ice rink. Add the Town “continues to develop” the park.

Springdale Farm – add “also operates a small restaurant and serves lunches. Hold events there also.

p. 2-26 – Add: Park on South Avenue behind Winston Woods.

Utica Street Park (at Holley Street) renamed the Monika Andrews Children’s Playground.
Remembrance Park – add “an original metal sculpture,” after “It includes...”

Harvester Park – add Welcome Center (strike “nearing completion” and state “complete”).
Discussion regarding “construction of an amphitheater”. Dave Hale felt it is already there due to the sloping of the land next to the Welcome Center on the west side.

P. 2-27 - Town Recreational Programs – Add the Sweden Farmer’s Museum and that it can be rented out.

(look at the brochure for additional info to include)

S/C Community Center – add the fitness center

Under Erie Canal – strike “Suggested improvement include boat tie-ups...” Welcome Center exists and is mentioned under Village Parks in the Harvester Park paragraph.

Strike “Should the Town acquire the land to develop a park...pursue grant money for renovation to the [Soldiers] Tower and preservation of this site”. Securing the existing tower would be more feasible rather than the expense of renovation.

p. 2-27 – Do we need to note that the Smith Street Bridge is proposed to be turned over to the Village.

Private Recreational Facilities – add: miniature golf course (on Fourth Section Rd.), Billiards Center, 24-Hour fitness center.

Open Area – Land on Swamp Road has since been sold by the Town.

P. 2-28 – Municipal, Public Safety...

Under Public Safety Facilities:

Brockport Fire Department* – “wait until the dust settles”.

Monroe County Sheriff’s C-Zone substation moved from Chili to Ogden off of Washington Street next to the Route 531 expressway.

The College was renamed.

Ambulance service* is provided through the Brockport Volunteer Ambulance Corp. (now an independent organization) and Monroe Ambulance.

(*Come back and re-visit the info here regarding the fire department and the ambulance after the potential changes have occurred.)

p. 2-29 – Educational Facilities – add: The SERC (completed in 2012) and the new apartment style dorms. The college has a Facilities Master Plan. Would like to have someone present the info to the committee. “Proposed athletic complex” is now in progress. May be looking into more apartment style dorms.

Name of the college has changed to “The College at Brockport”.

Private Schools: Christ Community Church no longer offering K – 8.

Pre-School Programs:

Add: ~~Bright Beginnings~~ changed to “Leaps and Bounds” (for 2 and 3 year olds) at S/C Community Center; Alphabet Soup Preschool “ABC—Learn With Me!” (pre-K for 4 year olds) at S/C Community Center; Brockport Community Nursery School at First Presbyterian Church; “Ready, Set, Grow!” at Brockport Free Methodist Church;

Day Care Center/Preschool Facilities licensed by NYS Office of Children and Family Services and/or NYS Education Department:

1. **Brockport Child Development Center Inc. (services for infants from 8 weeks to a before/after school program up to 12 y.o. Includes a Preschool program for 3 to 5 y.o.) located at 350 New Campus Drive · Brockport, NY**
2. **Brockport Free Methodist Church (Ready Set Grow Preschool) located at 6787 Fourth Section Rd · Brockport, NY**
3. **The Schoolhouse of Brockport Child Care, Inc. located at 1375 Transit Way, Brockport, NY**

In addition:

Sweden/Clarkson Community Center located at 4927 Lake Road South, Brockport, NY offers two preschool programs:

- “Leaps and Bounds” (for 2 and 3 year olds); and
- Alphabet Soup Preschool “ABC—Learn With Me!” (pre-K for 4 year olds)

P. 2-30 – Historic & Cultural Resources

“Preserve America Community” needs to expand on.
Designated “Tree City USA” 5 years in a row. (Margay Blackman)

(Go to the National Park Service website for more info.)

Pat will scan the pages 2-30 and 2-31 and send to the Town & Village Historic committees.

Cultural & Social Facilities

Add: Sweden Farmer’s Museum and Morgan Manning House
“Museum without Walls” (travelling displays)

Note: Bill Andrews provided a type-written document with his comments for the review of Chapter 2.

Next meeting: September 1, 2011 – continue with review of Chapter 3.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of September 1, 2011

Present: Bill Andrews, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Dave Hale, Jim Hamlin, Kathy Harter, Christine Hunt, Buddy Lester, Craig McAllister, and Lynda Vandenberg.

Visitor:

Pat Lloyd – presented a proposal for a mechanical/plumbing & heating company (workshop/warehouse with office attached) on the north side of Eisenhower Drive at Ledge Dale Airpark. Needs a change in zoning (currently zoned residential). The recommendation from the previous review was for a rezoning to light industrial. Airport-Commercial is on the south side of Eisenhower Drive. In Mr. Lloyd's opinion, the type of buildings that should go on the south side are airport-related building (i.e., airplane hangars). For what he wants to put up, doesn't have a need for the airport. The lots are long and narrow. Property has no sewer or gas service, only electric and water. All he needs is facilities for a bathroom. The question was asked if Mr. Lloyd looked at other commercial properties in the Town. The particular location he's looking at was economically feasible for him. The building would be a metal building (pole barn-type) about 100'x100' with a concrete slab floor.

Continue with review of plan: Any other items for Chapter 2?

Bill Andrews had some additions:

History: Revise the 2nd sentence of the 5th paragraph to read: "It began as a Baptist college in 1835, became the Brockport Collegiate Institute in 1841, and a State Normal School in 1867." p. 25 – table 12: change "Utica Street Playground" to "Monika W. Andrews Children's Park"

p. 26 – Village parks: Change the beginning of the 7th paragraph to read: "the Monika W. Andrews Children's Park"

Review the 2nd sentence in the last paragraph to read: "It includes an original metal sculpture, a perennial flowerbed, and a park bench."

p. 27 – Erie Canal: Add a 3rd paragraph to read: "The Village built and opened in 2005 a Welcome Center for the use of travelers on the canal. It includes two restrooms with showers, a laundry room, a lounge and office space with WiFi, TV, computer, telephone, etc. Bicycles and wagons are available for loan. Eleven pedestals, each with two water and electricity hookups line the canal bank. A staff of more than 100 volunteers are Greeters at the Welcome Center."

p. 30 – Historic Register Buildings/Sites: Add the recently designated structures to the 1st paragraph – Asa Rowe house (Brown residence-1669 Reed Road); Milo Starks house (Allen residence-5137 Lake Road South); Kutz residence (495 White Road).

Add to the list in the 2nd paragraph:

- A.D. Oliver Middle School at 40 Allen Street
- Alumni House at 145 Utica Street
- First Baptist Church at 124 Main Street (in process)
- Brockport United Methodist Church at 92 Main Street (in process)

Revise 5th paragraph to read: “The Village of Brockport was one of the first five municipalities in New York State to be designated a “Preserve America Community” under a White House program to recognize outstanding historic preservation efforts. Under that program, it received a \$30,000 grant to conduct a redevelopment study of the Clinton Street area.”

Page 31, table 13: bring this list up-to-date, using Leslie Morelli’s list.

Page 32, Cultural and Social Facilities: Add a 6th paragraph to read: “The Brockport Community Museum, chartered by the Board of Regents in 2005, is a “museum without walls,” with exterior interpretive signs and interior display cases in public venues throughout the community, a cultural and educational website, and historic vignettes displayed in storefront windows.”

Add a 7th paragraph to read: “A Walk! Bike! Brockport Action Group promotes outdoor exercise in Brockport with a variety of activities, including a walk-to-school week, a walk-to-work week, building a trail in the Sweden Town Park, the identification of “goat trails”, the placement of over 40 “Sitting Pretty” benches, and the commissioning and placement of three major works of art.”

Chapter 3

p. 3-2 Residential neighborhoods, 3rd paragraph – The committee of representatives from the college administration, etc. – Does that committee (“Town Gown”) still exist? The members did not think it did. The paragraph itself has a negative connotation and should be revised to be more positive. Perhaps it should read, “The Committee made up of representatives from the College administration, Campus Police, the Brockport Police Department, Village officials, neighborhood groups and others should aspire to meet regularly to communicate concerns and identify ways to prevent problems.”

A comment was made to not limit that paragraph to just college students.

Also, a comment was made that the police presence helps to make the neighborhoods feel safe.

p. 3-1 last paragraph – strike “Complaints about the odor and truck traffic are common.” The paragraph has negative connotation to it. Re-word to make it sound more positive.

p. 3-2

- Craig McAllister noted that at some point in time there has to be a way where a community can say that our non-taxable inventory has reached a threshold that we cannot exceed. There are numerous churches in this community and there is 30-40% of property that is not taxable. The burden of taxes on the rest of the parcels is going to make it that much worse. David Hale noted that the College is the largest tax-exempt property on the books.
- At some point in time we may need to change wording in our zoning. Multi-family/single family to just Residential, Commercial, etc. Keep it at the higher level and not drill down.
- The Village has a grant from the Certified Local Government Program to do a feasibility study on the conversion of upper floor space of the downtown commercial buildings to loft apartments. This could be positive change in the character of the area. A comment was made that the problem is that it requires zoning changes. Discussion ensued

regarding someone coming to the village zoning board who had requested an area variance, where there were already 3 apartments on the 2nd floor of the building but the village code states that there can only be 2 apartments on the upper floors.

Discussion took place regarding the Loft Apartments and who or what determines “upscale”. What happens to people that are not that well off, but want to better themselves. As long as the code requirements are met the buildings need to be occupied. We should avoid saying “upscale”.

Bill Andrews stated that the feasibility study has 4 parts: (1) will include a workshop by the Preservation League of New York, to show property owners how to better utilize the upper floors of commercial buildings; (2) hire a consultant architect to survey the buildings to determine whether they are suitable for that kind of conversion; secondly, draw up a conceptual plan of how that conversion could be made, and third, provide a ballpark estimate of how much that conversion would cost; (3) the village board has agreed to adopt a tax abatement ordinance for the increase in value of the property as a result of the conversion so that the 1st year the increased value of the property would not be taxed, the 2nd year 10%, and so on until after 10 years the property would be fully taxed; (4) the Historic Preservation Board will make an effort to find housing subsidies through HUD programs or elsewhere to help finance the cost of those conversions. Another benefit, if this works out, will be the tax base of the village will increase in an effort to make the village more viable.

The Historical Improvement program was a similar thing. They had that tax abatement program. It would be just for village tax and not for school or town tax. This would apply to any building that the architect determines feasible.

Put the above info under “village downtown” (p 3-3).

Dave Hale stated that the Industrial Development paragraph is so lame. There needs to be a more positive spin on that.

Bernie Daily noted that the labor force is more than “good”, it should be noted as an “excellent” labor force. The makeup of the community where the stores are located makes a huge difference.

p. 3-4 Transportation – remove the references to the expansion of Rt. 531; add the roundabout; major rebuild of Main Street through the Village to compliment the previous work done south of the Village on Rts. 19 to 31. Not only traffic improvements with the turning lanes, but the improvements with the sidewalks and curbing and the whole esthetic look coming into downtown.

P. 4-3, #3(e) – “Make provisions in the Zoning Laws for creative housing concepts...” The Town has provided more flexibility through the adoption of Incentive Zoning.

Written comments from Bill Andrews:

Page 1, Residential Neighborhoods – Add in 1st paragraph after the sentence beginning

“Historic buildings...” “Designation of such homes as “Historic Landmarks” by an active Historic Preservation Board helps to ensure the survival of their architectural integrity.”

Page 2, Rural Residences – Add in 1st paragraph after the sentence beginning “These properties...” “Some older homes have special historic and architectural interest. Their preservation as important cultural resources should be ensured through designation as “Historic Landmarks” by the Landmarks Advisory Committee.”

Page 3, Village Downtown – Add at the end of the 1st paragraph, “A Welcome Center with hospitality facilities for boaters, bikers, hikers, etc. opened in 2005 and enhance the attractiveness of the commercial district for travelers.”

Add at the end of the 2nd paragraph, “Also, the Village has adopted a more detailed plan for the redevelopment of the area between the canal and the south side of Clinton Street. The Greater Brockport Development Corp. has acquired the historic structure at 60 Clinton Street and is actively seeking a developer for that building and the Clinton Street area as a whole.”

Add a 3rd paragraph, “Forty-five commercial structures on Main and Market Streets have been designated “Historic Landmarks” locally and listed on the State and National Registers of Historic Places. Twenty-three of them have had their facades improved with the help of subsidies from the Community Development Block Grant program.”

Add a 4th paragraph, “The Village has commissioned a feasibility study of the practicality and desirability of encouraging the conversion of upper-level floors in downtown commercial structures for use as loft apartments. The recent addition of 201 dormitory beds on campus and 401 on Redman Road at a time that the college enrollment is not growing suggests that student housing may no longer be the best use for such space downtown.”

Pat asked for any other changes to be made on Chapters 2 and 3 to be sent to her. Chapter 5 was handed out for the next homework assignment.

Next meeting: September 15, 2011 and we will start the review of Chapter 4.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting –

Present:

Minutes of September 15, 2011

Bill Andrews, Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Becky Donohue, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannan, Kathy Harter, Christine Hunt, Craig McAllister, Mark Rabjohn, and MaryAnn Thorpe.

Bill had submitted written comments on Chapters 2, 3, 4 & 5. The committee was asked to review them.

Chapter 3 – Residential neighborhoods

Re: college student parties. The College received a Grant to put a program in place to address binge drinking. Suggestion made that we state the Town/Village supports such programs/initiatives.

Re: Commercial development in the village downtown – growing trend of “buildup initiative” occupying businesses in the upper floors of building. Not only lofts but mixed use as well. Ryan’s Big M could it be built up? What’s the village code say. Another concern is accessibility to the upper floors. Mark Lewis has converted a building to loft apartments.

Walkability/Walkable communities (p. 4-17 addresses it) - Becky will pull together walkability of new developments.

Chapter 4: B.11 & 13 – Bill’s written comments.

11.A. – The town has a real property maintenance law.

Chapter 4: A.1.e. – expand on the “energy star” rating label. Alternative energy sources, new initiatives (smart homes, solar heat, green living initiatives). Discussion on wind towers and what is in the current town code.

P. 4-3, #3(e) – “Make provisions in the Zoning Laws for creative housing concepts...” The Town has provided more flexibility through the adoption of Incentive Zoning.

Update 10.B.

Historic Overlay District – update historic resource map. List of sites is forthcoming from Leslie at the Village. Suggested to separate out the Village and Town historic resource map so we can see the Village’s historic district.

11.D. (p. 4-6) – 2nd sentence change “explore” to “encourage”

p. 4-6 – development impact fee – what is it? (“A development impact fee is a monetary exaction other than a tax or special assessment that is charged by a local governmental agency

to an applicant in connection with approval of a development project for the purpose of defraying all or a portion of the cost of public facilities related to the development project. A development impact fee is not a tax or special assessment; by its definition, a fee is voluntary and must be reasonably related to the cost of the service provided by the local agency.)

We may want to look into an Overlay District for commercial areas – Henrietta did it. We would assess a fee for maintenance of roads/infra-structure. Could be for both the town and village.

Reviewed Kathy Harter’s comments on section C (Conservation, open space, etc). Discussion regarding open space in the town.

Word-smithed C-1.1.(b), (c), (f) and (h).

Question: What is a “publicly owned sensitive area” – discussion followed.

p. 4-8 – Kathy Harter submitted written comments.

C-1.1.(b) – Change “Prepare and Open Space Plan...” to “Maintain an Open Space Plan”. Strike “the most important” - suggested leaving the word “important”.

C-1.1.(c) – change “Compile and maintain” to “Maintain and update”. Strike “Index” and replace with “Inventory”.

C-1.1.(f) – “Investigate a program to protect unique scenic resources and vistas.” Change to “Protect unique...”

C-1.1.(h) – Discussed leaving “Investigate mechanisms to protect environmentally sensitive areas...” or “Protect environmentally sensitive areas”. Later on the Action Plan will need to identify how. Consensus was to leave as is.

p. 4-9 – 4.(b) - Discussed Parks, Recreation and Open Space Fund. Do we have an Open Space Fund? (Ask finance director). No, the Town does not have an Open Space Fund. We have a fund for Parks & Recreation. Should read “Create an Open Space Fund.”

p. 4-9 – 5.(c) – “...New York Power Authority’s Energy Research & Development Authority (NYSERDA) program, *‘Energy Services Program for Public Entities’...*”

5.(d) – Change to “Encourage all new buildings be built to comply with...Energy Star guidelines and encourage utilizing NYSEDA’s Energy Efficiency & Renewable Programs.”

p. 4-10 – C-2.(h) – Strike “farm” and change to read, “Preserve existing structures (houses, barns, silos, etc.) where feasible.”

(Discussion took place regarding definition of family and 3 unrelated. To be continued at a future meeting.)

Next meeting: Scheduled for October 6. (Chapter 6 handed out to review at home.) Pick up with p.4-11, D. The Economy & Associated Land Uses.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of

Present:

October 6, 2011

Bill Andrews, Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Dave Hale, Jim Hamlin, Kathy Harter, Christine Hunt, Buddy Lester, Craig McAllister, Mark Rabjohn, and MaryAnn Thorpe.

p. 4-10 – C-2.(a) – Discussed that structures are located on the property where there is good percolation. The thought behind locating structures adjacent to tree lines and wooded areas was to preserve the trees as well. Recommend amending to add “where feasible” at the end of the sentence.

p. 4-11 – Bill recommended adding “such as the Greater Brockport Development Corp.” after “serving the area.”

p. 4-12 – (a) has not happened and likely may not. Consensus was to keep it.

(d) – how does the planning board “discourage”? Suggested amend to “Promote alternatives to strip commercial development.” Discussion: How? Offer tax incentives? The reality is we are in jeopardy of not sustaining a tax base now. Should we keep it in at all? We don’t “discourage” but we are trying to modify the development to fit the aesthetics of the community. Cannot regulate “aesthetics” – beauty is in the eye of the beholder. This is really addressed in the opening paragraph. Modify “e” to included “new and existing commercial areas”. Consensus was to strike (d).

p. 4-13 – D-3. Agriculture

1.(c) It was mentioned that **Arnie Monno** (and someone else, couldn’t remember who) went around the town back in the late ‘90’s and created an active farmlands map. Not sure if that still exists or has been maintained. (Checked with Arnie—he didn’t keep it.)

2.(b) Discussion: Why are school taxes specified and not the Town taxes? Probably because they’re more than 4 or 5 times what the Town tax. If we’re talking about encouraging legislative changes, the Town started to explore “locking in” the farmers tax payment so as the assessment rate went up in the future, farmers would be locked in for a period of 5 or so years, thus enabling them to budget “X-dollars” for their taxes and to help encourage active farming. This could be at the expense of everyone else, but if we want to keep farmland/green space this may be the trade-off. On Ag Exemptions you’re locked in for 6 or 7 years, but if they want to build a house they would have to repay the back taxes. This would be a cheap way for purchasing development rights.

Recommended to strike “such as reduced school taxes” and the last sentence, “Take action on similar measures at the Town level.” Amend 1st sentence to read “...County, State and Town levels...”

2.(d) Discussion around water districts. Consensus was to leave it in.

2.(e) Discussion surrounded around Ag Districts. The Town does not have agricultural districts – the County has them. **The consensus was to strike this one.** Does not apply.

p. 4-14 – 4.(a) Discussed whether we need to keep it. The concern was whether or not this would encourage commercial businesses in a zoned residential area. Farms may sell produce that is grown on their land. **Consensus was to strike it.**

4.(b) – Supported by the Brockport Farmer’s Market.

4.(c) – Discussion: What this doesn’t deal with is where the farmers get their employees. This may not be an issue for the comprehensive plan. This is referencing getting more people interested in farming. The employee issue is really something that we cannot address here.

D-4. Industrial & Commercial Development – Industrial Policies

1.(a) – Discussion regarding “tax incentives” – the County already has COMIDA. Recommended striking “through tax incentives” – it doesn’t mean that we don’t want to work with COMIDA or other agencies to encourage development.

2. Commercial Development Policies

(a) – (e) is boiler plate and is pretty much being done.

(f) – Group isn’t fond of the wording “Provide incentives”, perhaps reword to “Work with”. Consensus was to go with “Work with.”

Going back to (a) “Contain commercial development along Rt. 31 within a specified area.” Discussion: Rt. 31 commercial corridor – East of Lowe’s from Owens Road to Sweden-Walker Road. Craig spoke about the land owner not wanting that land to be commercial. We could recommend that land be rezoned to commercial. Discussion was to specify “within a specified area.”

Recommendation section for Commercial Area - doing away with 60’ requirement on dedicated road and put that into “dedicated easement” which would allow for easier development of the property around Lowe’s without having to come out onto Route 31. Change to make is for commercial subdivision getting access off of dedicated easement areas instead of dedicated roads. Wouldn’t want to do that in residential.

3. Village Downtown Business District Polices

Bill recommended adding another item – Encourage the redevelopment of underused commercial and industrial areas such as the Clinton Street area and the Kleen Brite property.

(b) Change “Dress up” to “Market the Village...”

Next meeting: October 20th – E. Transportation

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of

Present:

October 20, 2011

Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Becky Donohue, Dave Hale, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannan, Buddy Lester, and Mark Rabjohn.

p. 4-17 – E. Transportation

Discussion on (b) and (c), Pedestrian Circulation Plan and Bicycle Circulation Plan – hasn't happened yet, but interested in keeping. Bike lanes were added on Route 31 and Main Street (Route 19).

(d) Discussion: "Sort of" happens. Town engineer does look at turning lanes.

(f) Change "SUNY Brockport" to "SUC at Brockport". (Note: changed again to "The College at Brockport, SUNY")

(h)(4) – The Town has been trying to get an internal access road between Ames Plaza and Walmart Plaza. Obviously, it has not been built yet. Given the current status of the old Walmart Plaza it's in the distant future at best.

(h)(6) – needs to be reworded. Extension of Rt. 531 has been scrapped. Recommended "Work with the NYSDOT to determine the most effective way to improve traffic flow on Route 31 from Route 531."

Presentation by Rochester Regional Community Design Center – Johnie Monroe & Roger Brown, Architects. Jack Milner introduced them. They have worked with the Village of Churchville in developing their plan. Jack had requested they look at the Transit Road area. With concerns of Route 31 and the volume of traffic.

This is a non-profit community design center and works in the 9-county greater Rochester region. They have training programs on the Smart Growth Principles.

They hold Charrettes (definition: a meeting in which all stakeholders in a project attempt to resolve conflicts and map solutions.) – brainstorming sessions within communities to help them formulate their vision of their community.

They brought examples of drawings done for other communities. Some drawings have been included in their respective comprehensive plans.

The Village of Macedon had requested they develop an "overlay district" for mixed use zoning.

A question was asked that once the schematic is designed is there any support for marketing to other developers. The answer was no.

With the proper design, and the economy turning around, we could make areas in the town more appealing.

The idea is creating a blueprint for the future (20-30 years) – certainly the economy drives the pace of development.

The question was asked if the Town were to do something like this, what are the costs involved? The Charrette process itself, which is considered Phase 1, which would involve stakeholders within the community, and then Phase 2, the Vision Plan, where designs are created, could range anywhere from \$30,000 to \$40,000.

Further information can be obtained on their website at <http://www.rrcdc.org/>.

[The presentation was approximately 45 minutes. After the presentation, the meeting resumed.]

It was noted by Mr. Hale that the Sweden Planning Board does get involved in the design of the buildings absent an architectural review board. They did have some success with the Super WalMart and CVS (not so much with Tractor Supply).

If we were interested in pursuing something like this, Becky suggested that we look into local resources for example, Alfred State Urban Design Studio (who did master plans for the Town of Wellsville, South Clinton Avenue, Village of Fairport, Susan B. Anthony in Bullhead neighborhood); there's also the University of Buffalo Regional Institute; and the RIT Sustainability Institute which will have a community economic development facet. The architecture school is going to do it, per David Strabel.

If we are forecasting out a 30 year plan, how much is going to change during that time? If this is something we want to pursue, we need to look at all our options.

Becky offered to contact Alfred and UB to see if there was any interest in participating.

Next meeting: November 17, 2011

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of

Present:

November 17, 2011

Bill Andrews, Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Becky Donohue, Dave Hale, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannan, Kathy Harter, and Mark Rabjohn.

Follow-up from last meeting:

p. 4-17 – Transportation – (f) changing name for SUNY Brockport and adding something regarding the Eagle Shuttle with the College.

p. 4-18 – (6) rewording to read “Work with the NYSDOT to determine the most *effective way to improve traffic flow on Route 31 from Route 531.*” All agreed.

p. 4-19 – 2.d. – strike “*Unified Planning Work Program*” sentence to read, “Seek funding to study transportation issues as necessary.”

p. 4-19 – 2.a. – David Hale asked what kinds of capital highway improvement projects does the Town of the Village undertake. Unable to answer – need to consult with the Town Highway Superintendent and the Village DPW Superintendent.

p. 4-19 (f) – Question: Does the Federal Aid Urban Boundary still exist. Answer: It does and gets updated with the Census information.

p. 4-19 (i) – New fees to developer? Currently, the developer builds his own roads (to town specs). This would be over and above that. This could only be used for transportation. This would be utilized if the Town (or Village) built additional roads due to increased traffic from a development. This would also be pursuant to being enacted by the NYS Legislature.

p. 4-19 (k) – Strike the item. No longer applicable.

(Lengthy discussion regarding the NYSDOT’s plans for improvement at the Route 531 and Route 31 exchange. One option may be a road cut under Washington Street. A public information meeting is forthcoming in the spring of 2012.)

p. 4-20, 3.c. – A question was asked what “awkward or confusing intersections” do we have. The 5 corners in the Village, which was greatly improved; Holley Street and Monroe Avenue in the Village (Carol stated the College has a suggested change in their Master Plan); Holley at Redman has bad blind spots, Gallup Road at Route 31 (Brockport-Spencerport Road) difficult to turn east from Gallup Road; and Campbell Road at Route 31 (Brockport-Spencerport Road).

p. 4-22 – Public Utilities, Facilities and Services

p. 4-22, 1.a. – Capital Improvement Plan – Carol stated that the Village had one. She wasn’t sure if it had been kept up-to-date. (Find out if this was a State mandate.)

p. 4-22, 1.c. – Do we have a “Benefit Assessment Procedures Manual” and more importantly, what is it? This may pertain to a “cost benefit analysis” - *Cost Benefit Analysis* is an economic evaluation technique that measures all the positive (beneficial) and negative (costly) consequences of an intervention or program in monetary terms. For instance, it could be applied to water districts or sewer lines. Becky asked if this was even necessary. The consensus was to leave it in.

p. 4-23, F-2. Water and Waste Water Collection and Treatment Systems

Extension of water districts had been made recently. All of Shumway and Colby Street have been completed. Swamp Road between Sweden-Walker and Salmon Creek Roads has been completed. Also, Sweden-Walker Road from Colby Street south to Swamp Road.

p. 4-24 – Public Safety

p. 4-24, 1.c. – *“Explore the need to establish a fire station on Town land in Sweden Center.”* Pursuant to discussions with the Fire Chiefs, Sweden Center is too far out because there are not enough people to draw volunteers from to have one there. The preference is to have it in a more populated area. Strike the word “Center”. *(Fire District vote is set for November 29, 2011.) Pursuant to Agreement with the Village and Town of Clarkson, Sweden is responsible for providing a public safety facility, if the Fire District vote passes. Location of building is under review. (Note: Fire District vote passed; Sweden is to build and turn over the building by 12/31/2013. We can then strike this from the Plan.)*

p. 4-24, 2.b. – “Enhance 911 emergency phone system” - Strike – County has done it.

p. 4-24, 2.d. – Carol is going to check with the Police Chief to see if SPAR-TAC still exists. Consensus was to leave it in.

p. 4.25, F-4 – Parks and Recreation

p. 4-25, 1.a. – The Town has established a Park Reserve Fund. The Town does not have an Open Space Reserve Fund.

Bill Andrews had inquired about exploring the possibilities for development of nature trails on appropriate land. Pat Connors stated that it may be more appropriate for this to be included in Chapter 6 as an action plan.

p. 4-26, F-5 – Solid Waste Management

p. 4-26, 1.a – Consensus was to strike as it is unlikely that this would go anywhere.

p. 4-26, 1.b – Discussed that the Village at one time had proposed a village-wide refuse collection. Carol stated that David Wagonhauser had proposed this -- the Village would bid out the contract for the individual households and as a village-wide bid it would save about 50% of the cost that individuals pay. Churchville does this. Orleans County does a similar program. You would pay for garbage pickup through your taxes. The Village of Hilton does this as well. Consensus was to leave (b) in the Plan.

p. 4-27, F-6 – Human Services

p. 4-27, 1. – Include the local services as well (i.e. local churches).

(There was a lengthy discussion regarding what local resources are available.)

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of

Present:

p. 4-28, F-7 and F-8. – Education and Cultural Resources

There was a lengthy discussion regarding capital projects for schools—budgeting for capital projects has increased while enrollment has decreased.

F-8 – Change the word “Explore” to “Utilize”.

Possible Recommendations for Rezoning Consideration:

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting –

Present:

Student Lane apartments (Brockport Crossings) – wanted to expand with 3 new buildings for student housing. Currently, it is a Village water and sewer line that is on private property.

Ledgedale Airpark – In the last plan the south side is Airport Commercial, the north side is currently residential, the recommendation was for light industrial (to be in line with the Airport Master Plan). Do we want to change that? Should we be recommending Airport Commercial instead for the north side of Eisenhower Drive? A plumbing business was interested in a wholesale retail business on the north side. After a lengthy discussion, Danielle suggested leaving the recommendation as light industrial because the south side has Airport Commercial available. Craig stated that we should still have a reasonable buffer to residential.

(Note: During the March 12, 2012 Sweden Planning Board Meeting, it was determined that the main reason for recommending Light Industrial is that it is so restrictive it would serve as a buffer to the residential zoning. However, the setbacks for Light Industrial on this property would end up allowing a pencil-size building. After much discussion, it was determined that Light Industrial zoning for this parcel is prohibitive. It was suggested that the Town Board rezone the property to Retail-Business with a 100 ft. rear setback instead of the required 25 feet.)

Next meeting: February 2, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Minutes of February 2, 2012

Bill Andrews, Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Carol Hannan, Christine Hunt, Craig McAllister, and Mark Rabjohn.

p. 5-1 – Conservation: Include the Wind Energy Conversion System overlay district

p. 5-2 – Agriculture – A question was asked if a Farmland Advisory Board was ever created. The answer is no, not yet. Discussion centered around what active farms are still around. Christine mentioned that Towns to the east of us have taken action to protect open space.

p. 5-2 – Rural Residential: There was discussion regarding the number of golf courses in the Town. After further review, it was determined that Arrowhead Golf Club is in the Town of Sweden and a small portion of Cardinal Creek Country Club is in the northeast corner of the Town. Pinewood Country Club is in the Town of Ogden.

p. 5-3 – Multi-Family Residential/Commercial: update paragraph regarding Heritage Square.

p. 5-3 – Central Business District: add “and the Clinton Street Master Plan” at the end of the paragraph.

p. 5-5 – Airport and Related Business: modify last paragraph – rezoning from residential to light industrial will not work on that parcel. It should be rezoned from residential to airportcommercial (B-2) and add a 100 ft. buffer rather than the 25 ft.

p.5 -6 – Industrial: insert the word “former” in the 1st paragraph, 3rd line to read, “...areas include the former sites of Owens Illinois...”

2nd paragraph, insert the word “former” in the 1st line to read, “...purposes include the former site of PetSmart Direct, Inc. and other businesses...”

3rd paragraph, remove references to extension of Route 531 expressway.

p. 5-6 – Recreation: add “Sweden/Clarkson Community Center”

Change Utica Street Playground to “Monica Andrews Park (a/k/a Utica Street playground)”

Add “South Avenue Park”

The Town no longer owns the wildlife area on Swamp Road. It is now privately owned. The Town is in the process of taking over the Rural Civil War Cemetery.

p. 5-7 – (Recreation con't) 2nd paragraph, a question was asked what/where is “Historic Old Town and Old Towne Park”. Bill Andrews stated that is what was in the 1999 Canal Front Master Plan. It has been superseded by the Clinton Street Master Plan. It is basically the area between Clinton Street and the canal from the Smith Street bridge to Main Street. Bill will re-write this paragraph with the pertinent updates.

p. 5-7 – Public: add “and Parks listed under Recreation”

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting –

Present:

p. 5-8 – Highways: Modify #1 (strike references to Route 531 extension)

Modify #2: strike references to Route 531 extension. Change “second” entrance to “third” entrance. Strike the last sentence.

Strike #4 (reference to extending a new road west of Route 19 opposite Colby Street).

Add #5: “Extending Shumway Road to Redman Road.” (Possible future access to “The Woods at Sable Ridge” a/k/a the Spazziano property)

Next meeting: February 16, 2014 (Chapter 6)

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Minutes of February 16, 2012

Pat Connors, Becky Donohue, David Hale, Carol Hannan, Kathy Harter, and Craig McAllister.

The Committee started the review of Chapter 6.

Strike all references to the Route 531 extension.

p. 6-1 – Housing & Residential Land Use:

#1 – Leave in. (The Census bureau does housing types regarding the number in town.)

#2 - #4 – Leave in.

#5 – Modify. Responsible Agency should be Building Departments in Village & Town. #8 – A question was asked if the Town has a Historic Preservation Ordinance. The answer is yes, Local Law 1-1993, which set up the Landmark Advisory Committee.

#14 – Strike – Completed - both municipalities have a Noise Ordinance.

#19 – Modify to add “group homes for Lifetime Assistance and Loft conversions”. Strike “in the Zoning Laws” as this is provided in NYS law.

#20 – Strike – addressed in the NYS Building Code.

#21 – Replace “Committee” with “Liaison”

#22 – Strike – deeds received & filed 12/31/2001. (Subsequently sold.)

p. 6-4 – Managing the Built Environment:

#2 – Create Environmental Protection Overlay District. Kathy Harter stated the Conservation Board looks at the whole Town and take care of what comes up with development rather than just the overlay district. They try to protect most of what they can. She doesn’t feel that overlay district works very well. Recommendation was strike it.

Mr. James Rusin arrived to present an idea to the committee for a parcel that is south of Hage’s property on Route 19 (south), where he is currently leasing space. The property is currently zoned Residential. He owns Rochester Pallet and Crate. He would be building pallets. He wants to put a pole barn up along with a Quonset hut out back to store them. Currently he has 2 employees, possibly 10-12 in the future as the business grows. After much discussion, it was determined that rezoning may not be needed. A Use Variance may be the better way to go. This is similar to a farm operation. Recommended he check with the Town’s Building Department regarding other requirements, i.e. safety requirements.

Next meeting: March 15, 2014 (Chapter 6, p. 6-4, pick up with #3)

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting –

Present:

Minutes of March 15, 2012

Bill Andrews, Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Becky Donohue, David Hale, Carol Hannan, Kathy Harter, Christine Hunt, Craig McAllister, Mark Rabjohn and Danielle WindusCook.

p. 6-4 – #3 (Conservation Easement Program) – Dave Hale stated that Ag land is already taxed so low and the number of farmlands being converted to ag use is next to zero... Mark asked if anything has been done recently. The answer was no. Further discussion ensued. The consensus of the committee was to strike it.

#4 – The Town hasn't done anything in several years. The County mandated at one time that a minimum of 5 acres required and now it is 2.5 acres, but the Town left it at 5 acres. Since the southern end of the town has no water, we need to keep it at 5 acres. The question was asked if there are any other criteria or guidelines that need to be done. Further discussion ensued. Craig brought up a situation regarding demolition of a building. This needs to be acted on.

#5 – Town and Village Planning Boards should be the Responsible Agency.

#8 – Strike the word “annually”. Committee members were unsure if the narrative still exists.

#11 – Modify to “Require/retain large lot sizes (minimum 5 acres) in areas with no water or sewer.”

#16 – Lengthy discussion ensued. Modify and reword to state, “Identify and weigh alternatives to proposed development and examine measures to mitigate negative impacts.” #18 – After much discussion it was decided to change the 2nd sentence-- change “Require” to “Recommend”.

#20 – Add a semicolon between “adjacent buildings” and “all sites” on the 2nd line. David noted that it was difficult to enforce due to the lack of an Architectural review board. Bill stated that this could be applied to the Clinton Street area.

#23 – Strike – it was completed.

#25 – Strike “Establish and” and start sentence with “Keep” and change priority to “Ongoing”.

#27 – Development impact fee – enacted by the State Legislature? Craig thought that the Town of Henrietta did this. After lengthy discussion the consensus was to leave it in.

#28 – Strike – the Town enacted Incentive Zoning.

#30 – Remove the parentheses on the 2nd sentence. Change Niagara Mohawk to National Grid.

#31 – The Town already does this. Leave in for the Village.

#32 – Change priority to “Ongoing”

#33 – Change “streetlights” to two words. Change Niagara Mohawk to National Grid. Change priority to “Ongoing”.

Next meeting: April 19, 2012 (pick up with p. 6-8)

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Connors, Chair

Minutes of April 19, 2012

Bill Andrews, Pat Connors, Becky Donohue, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannan, Craig McAllister and Mark Rabjohn.

Bill stated that the Village was doing a feasibility study regarding loft apartments. He asked that another item be added in Chapter 6:

“Promote the improvement of upper floor space in buildings in the historic commercial district for multiple uses such as, loft apartments, offices, studios, etc., through tax abatements, zoning revisions, parking arrangements, etc.”

Responsible agency: Village Board, Village Planning and Zoning Boards
Priority: Ongoing

p. 6-8 – Conservation, Open Space...

#2 – may be completed. The Town has a Parks & Recreation Plan, they do not have an “Open Space” plan. However, per Kathy Harter (see her notes below) they have a draft Open Space plan nearly completed.

#3 – Replace Index with Inventory and change the priority to Ongoing.

#4 – Change priority to Long term 3-5 years.

#5 - Replace the word “program” with “methods” and add the word “natural” between unique and scenic. Change the priority to Ongoing.

#6 – Change priority to Ongoing.

#7 – Suggestion to strike “Investigate mechanisms to”. Decided to come back to this later. There are Zoning Laws and Stormwater Management requirements to consider.

The decision was made to give this section to the Conservation Board to review as it was important to have their input on this section.

ECB Comments (5/3/2012):

Chapter 6, C. Conservation, Open Space and Environmental Protection

C-1 Environment

2. delete “Parks and Recreation Master”, change word “or” to “and”, delete “the most important.”

To read: Prepare an Open Space Plan for the protection and preservation of open spaces. Note: This makes it congruent with the goals section--Chapter 4, 4-8, b. Also remembering that Sweden’s Draft Open Space Plan is all inclusive covering the entire Town and all resources. Priority: Immediate (The Draft Open Space Plan is nearly complete)

3. Change the word “Index” to “Inventory”. Reason: Inventory is a more detailed, comprehensive listing of the natural resources as identified in the Open Space Plan.

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting –

Present:

Remembering that the Town of Sweden's Open Space Plan and Index/Inventory encompasses the entire Town not just a few isolated parcels that would be considered "important."

NOTE: If Index is changed to Inventory--would need to go back to Chapter 4, 4-8, c. and replace the word Index with Inventory.

5. delete "a program" insert "methods"

6. add "snow shoeing"

7. Replace "mechanisms" with "methods"

9. Priority --ongoing

10. The Town Planning Board is instrumental and does a great job of protecting water resources during site development review. The county and state both have strong "stormwater protection" recommendations in effect. The ECB also makes recommendations to protect water quality during site reviews and wrote an educational brochure entitled Water Water Quality Watersheds back in March 1999. (attached) The problem--the public's actions and developer's actions are often detrimental to the Town's water resources. Question: Would preparing a Town of Sweden Watershed Management Plan give the Town more "teeth" to better protect this most vital resource?

12. delete "Have the Conservation Board" and start sentence with "Identify." The Environmental Conservation Board is already listed as the responsible agency. The ECB has already started on this.

16. delete "other mechanisms" insert "methods" to read: "Investigate methods for funding open space."

21. Priority: ongoing

22. We would like to add other potential linear parks. Perhaps to read:

"Seek grant funding from Monroe County and the State of New York for land acquisition and development of linear parks such as: the Niagara Escarpment, between the Town Park and the Canal Towpath, along the old trolley line or old railroad line."

Priority: ongoing (dependent upon funding available at any given time)

C-2 Character

Add the words in quotes to beginning of the first statement to read:

"Protect natural resources", minimize visual impact, retain rural/agricultural features and minimize site disturbance.

Note: Most all of the methods that protect the character of the town also protect the natural resources/environment of the town as well.

g) delete “for such aspects as” and replace with “during” and add word “land” after other--to read:

Minimize site disturbance during construction of roads, basins, and other land improvements.
Priority: Ongoing (we have been making these suggestions for years now)

p. 6-12 – Economy & Associated Land Uses: leave as is.

p. 6-13 – Commerce:

#1 – There was discussion regarding commercial integrated with residential to be more of a “walkable community” within that area. For instance the land north of Rte. 31 between Owens Road and Sweden-Walker Road. The closest thing we have to that right now is where the

new housing development is behind the Super Wal-Mart. The consensus was to keep it in as an option.

#2 – Carol questioned if this really pertains to the Village. Bill stated that this would pertain to the Clinton Street area. Keep it as is.

#5 – Add Historic Preservation Board to responsible agency.

#6 – Add the word “directly” after Communicate and include the Merchant’s Association and Chamber of Commerce.

#7 – Remove “one mile of” and change priority to just Ongoing. (Craig stated that any developments are required to put sidewalks in.)

Rich Miller arrived to discuss 521 Holley Street. It’s immediately adjacent to Brockport Crossings apartment complex that is tapped into the Village’s sewer system. It is 5.7 acres that is all wooded. He is talking with a developer to put in more apartment complexes. The property is currently zoned residential. He asked if the committee would consider a rezoning of that property. Craig suggested utilizing Incentive Zoning there. There was a lengthy discussion and brainstorming session that ensued.

Next meeting: May 17, 2012

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of May 17, 2012

Present: Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Jim Hamlin, Carol Hannan, Craig McAllister and Mark Rabjohn.

p. 6-15 – D-3 Agriculture:

- #1 – Responsible agency change to Town Planning Board
- #2 – Responsible agency add Town Planning Board and change priority to Medium 3-5 years.
- #3 – Identify USDA NRCS – it stands for US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service.

p. 6-16 - #9 – It was felt that the Town doesn't do this. This is mainly the County that establishes the Ag District. The consensus was to strike this item.

- #10 – Change the priority to Ongoing.
- #12 – Begin the sentence with "Continue to"

p. 6-17 – D-4 Industrial & Commercial Development:

- #3 - #7 – change priority to Ongoing.
- #8 – Strike "creation of architectural review boards" and replace with "appointment of at least one Architect to the Planning Board."

P. 6-18 – #10, #11, #13 – change priority to Ongoing. Also, on #13, while the Village has pretty much been developed as a "unique community business district", there is still the area of Clinton Street that needs to be developed according to the Clinton Street Master Plan. The decision was to leave it in and change the priority to Ongoing.

- #14 – Change the words "Dress up" to "Market the". #17
- change priority to Ongoing.

Since the Transportation section was lengthy, we skipped over to page 6-26, Public Utilities, Facilities and Services. (We'll go back to Transportation at the next meeting.)

p. 6-26 – F. Public Utilities, etc.:

- #1 – Change priority to Ongoing.
- #3 – Benefit Assessment Procedures Manual. More information needed.
- #5 - #7 – Change priority to Ongoing.
- #8 – strike the last part of the sentence, "and with Monroe County Pure Waters Division...Waste Water Treatment System. Strike "Board of Water Commissioners" from responsible agency. Change the priority to Ongoing.
- #9 – Add Town Highway Dept. and Village DPW to responsible agency.

p. 6-27 – F-2 Water and Waste Water Collection...:

- #2 – change "construction" to "constructing". Strike "Board of Water Commissioners" from responsible agency.

#4 – The County has a Pre-Disaster Mitigation Plan of which the Town and Village are included in. Remove “Board of Water Commissioners” from responsible agency and replace with Town Highway Dept and Village DPW. Change priority to Ongoing.

#5 – add Town Highway Dept and Village DPW to responsible agency.

#6 - Remove “Board of Water Commissioners” from responsible agency and replace with Town Highway Dept and Village DPW.

p. 6-28 – F-3 Public Safety:

#1 – Change Fire “Department” to Fire “District Commissioners”. Change priority to Ongoing.

#3 – Change the wording to “Continue to work with the County’s Office of Emergency Preparedness for disaster planning in the Town and Village.”

#4 – Change the responsible agency to Brockport Fire District Commissioners.

#6 – The Town of Sweden will be building a fire station on Owens Road to turn over to the Fire District per an agreement between the Towns of Sweden & Clarkson and the Village. *(This item was subsequently removed.)*

Next meeting: June 7, 2012

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of June 7, 2012

Present: Connie Castaneda, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Dave Hale, Carol Hannan, Craig McAllister and Mark Rabjohn.

p. 6-19 – E. Transportation

- #3 – Under Responsible agency “NYS Thruway/Canal Corporation
- #4 – Under responsible agency add Genesee Regional Transportation Authority. Change priority to Long Term 3-5 years and Ongoing. (There was discussion regarding bus shelters and the need for more throughout the Town and Village.) #5 and #6 – change priority to Ongoing.
- #7 – Consensus was to strike this item.
- #8 – strike “reopening the southernmost segment of Redman Road, north of Reed Road, and”. Add “extending from Sweden Hill to Owens Road.” Question regarding “proposed road going west off Lake Road opposite Colby Street”. Follow up needed.
- #9 – Completed – remove. (Reconstruction of Main Street.)
- #10 – Leave in. Discussion regarding signage for the College heading west on BrockportSpencerport Road to direct traffic coming from the east to the Commencement Drive entrance.
- #11 – change priority to Long Term 5-10 years.
- #12 and #13– Strike, no longer applicable.
- #14 and #15 – change priority to Ongoing.
- #16 – change priority to Long Term 5-10 years and Ongoing.
- #17 – change priority to Ongoing
- #21 – change priority to Ongoing
- #22 – Change “Promote” to “Support”. Change priority to Ongoing. (*Lakeside Health System no longer here. Subsequently changed to University of Rochester Medical Center Strong West.*)
- #23 – Strike – no longer applicable.
- #24 – change priority to Ongoing.
- #25 - Strike – the consensus was it was pretty much the same as #6.
- #27 - Strike. Already have it. (Discussion ensued regarding the College’s plans for Kenyon Street and the “Y” at Holley and Monroe.)
- #28 – change priority to Ongoing.
- #30 – change priority to Ongoing.
- #31 – Strike. No communication with Federal agency
- #32 – Consensus was to strike. State and County DOT pretty much dictate the streetscape.
- #33 – add NYS and Monroe County DOT to responsible agency.
- #34 – change priority to long term 5-10 years.
- #36 – Strike – no longer applicable. (Re: 531 extension)

Next meeting: June 21, 2012

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair

Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting – Minutes of June 21, 2012

Present: Bill Andrews, Pat Connors, Bernie Daily, Carol Hannan, Mark Rabjohn, Linda Vandenberg and Danielle Windus-Cook.

Transportation continued:

- p. 6-23, #37 – add the Town and Village Planning Boards to responsible agency.
#38 – add “Canal Path”. Add NYS Thruway Authority to responsible agency.
#39 – add the Town & Village Planning Boards to responsible agency as well as NYS DOT and Monroe County DOT.
#40 – add the Town & Village Planning Boards to responsible agency as well as NYS DOT and Monroe County DOT.
#41 – add the Town & Village Planning Boards to responsible agency as well as NYS DOT and Monroe County DOT. Change priority to Ongoing.
#42 – add the Town & Village Planning Boards to responsible agency as well as NYS DOT and Monroe County DOT.
#43 – add the Town & Village Planning Boards to responsible agency.
#44 – add the Town & Village Planning Boards to responsible agency as well as NYS DOT and Monroe County DOT.
#45 – change priority to Ongoing.
#46 – change priority to Ongoing.
#49 – change priority to Ongoing.
#51 – add Historic Preservation & Landmark Advisory to responsible agency.
#53 – add “and South Avenue” after Owens Road. Change priority to long term 5-10 years, Ongoing. (Visual verification needed.) #54 – Strike – completed.

F-4. Parks and Recreation:

- p. 6-29, #1 – change priority to Ongoing.
#3 – change priority to Ongoing.
#4 – change priority to Ongoing.
#5 – change priority to Ongoing. The Town has incentive zoning and a reserve fund for parks and recreation.
#6 – reword first sentence adding “and/or maintaining” and “periodically”. Priority to Ongoing.
#7 – add Historic Preservation Board and Greater Brockport Development Corporation to responsible agency. Change priority to Ongoing.
#8 – Under Responsible agency strike Town Board and leave Environ. Conserv. Board. Change priority to Long term 5-10 years and Ongoing.

F-5. Solid Waste Management:

- p. 6-31, #1 – change priority to Ongoing.
#2 – After much discussion, the consensus was to leave it in. Strike “and applied to taxes.”
#4 – change priority to Ongoing.

#5 – Strike – no longer applicable. (Refuse/Recycling Center) F-6.

Human Services:

#3 – Strike – we have a Community Center.

F-7. Education:

#2 – change priority to Ongoing.

F-8. Cultural Resources:

#1 – add “or” after “and” in 1st sentence; add an “s” for museums. Change priority to Ongoing.

#2 – Strike the word “private” as this property is being acquired by the Town. Strike “Private Committee and” from responsible agency. Change the priority to Ongoing.

#3 – add “and Library Board” to responsible agency.

This Review is now completed! Ms. Connors thanked the Committee members for sticking it out through the lengthy review.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Connors, Chair